

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/743,805		MALAKA, MARK D.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart		3726	

All Participants:

(1) Jermie Cozart.

(2) Gary Travis.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 3, 9, and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11:45 AM

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Gary Travis, Mr. Travis agreed to amend the specification, drawings, and claims 1, 3, 9, and 10 by examiner's amendment in order to correct minor defects, avoid a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and to place the application in condition for allowance.